



Kumchev, A., & Wooley, T. D. (2017). On the Waring–Goldbach problem for seventh and higher powers. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 183(2), 303–310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-016-0936-7>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1007/s00605-016-0936-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-016-0936-7)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Springer Verlag at <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-016-0936-7>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

ON THE WARING–GOLDBACH PROBLEM FOR SEVENTH AND HIGHER POWERS

ANGEL V. KUMCHEV AND TREVOR D. WOOLEY

ABSTRACT. We apply recent progress on Vinogradov’s mean value theorem to improve bounds for the function $H(k)$ in the Waring–Goldbach problem. We obtain new results for all exponents $k \geq 7$, and in particular establish that for large k one has

$$H(k) \leq (4k - 2) \log k - (2 \log 2 - 1)k - 3.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

In our recent work [7], we reported on the consequences for the Waring–Goldbach problem of recent progress on Vinogradov’s mean value theorem based on efficient congruencing (see, for example, [9, 10]). We now revisit our analysis in order to incorporate the latest developments stemming from work of Bourgain, Demeter and Guth [1]. We first recall the definition of the function $H(k)$ associated with the Waring–Goldbach problem. Consider a natural number k and prime number p , and define $\theta = \theta(k, p)$ to be the integer with $p^\theta | k$ but $p^{\theta+1} \nmid k$, and $\gamma = \gamma(k, p)$ by

$$\gamma(k, p) = \begin{cases} \theta + 2, & \text{when } p = 2 \text{ and } \theta > 0, \\ \theta + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then put $K(k) = \prod_{(p-1)|k} p^\gamma$, and denote by $H(k)$ the least integer s such that every sufficiently large positive integer congruent to s modulo $K(k)$ may be written as the sum of s k -th powers of prime numbers.

Improving on the bound $H(k) \leq k(4 \log k + 2 \log \log k + O(1))$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, due to Hua [3, 4], we recently showed that $H(k) \leq (4k - 2) \log k + k - 7$. The improved bound that we now present in this note saves roughly $(2 \log 2)k$ further variables.

Theorem 1. *When k is large, one has $H(k) \leq (4k - 2) \log k - (2 \log 2 - 1)k - 3$.*

For small values of k one has the bounds

$$H(1) \leq 3, \quad H(2) \leq 5, \quad H(3) \leq 9, \quad H(4) \leq 13, \quad H(5) \leq 21, \quad H(6) \leq 32, \quad H(7) \leq 46,$$

as a consequence of work of Vinogradov [8], Hua [2], Kawada and the second author [5], the first author [6], and Zhao [11]. For larger values of k , we recently established that

$$\begin{aligned} H(8) &\leq 61, & H(9) &\leq 75, & H(10) &\leq 89, & H(11) &\leq 103, & H(12) &\leq 117, \\ H(13) &\leq 131, & H(14) &\leq 147, & H(15) &\leq 163, & H(16) &\leq 178, \\ H(17) &\leq 194, & H(18) &\leq 211, & H(19) &\leq 227, & H(20) &\leq 244. \end{aligned}$$

We now obtain the following bounds for $H(k)$ when $7 \leq k \leq 20$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11P32, 11L20, 11P05, 11P55.

Theorem 2. *Let $7 \leq k \leq 20$. Then $H(k) \leq s(k)$, where $s(k)$ is defined by Table 1.*

k	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$s(k)$	45	57	69	81	93	107	121	134	149	163	177	193	207	223

TABLE 1. Upper bounds for $H(k)$ when $7 \leq k \leq 20$

Our proof of Theorems 1 and 2 proceeds by directly incorporating the refinements available via [1] into our previous methods from [7]. We record improved estimates for Weyl sums in §2, both pointwise bounds and mean value estimates. Then, in §3, we indicate how to refine our previous bounds for $H(k)$ using these bounds, thereby establishing Theorems 1 and 2.

Throughout this paper, the letter ε denotes a sufficiently small positive number. Whenever ε occurs in a statement, we assert that the statement holds for each positive ε , and any implied constant in such a statement is allowed to depend on ε . The letter p , with or without subscripts, is reserved for prime numbers. We also write $e(x)$ for $\exp(2\pi i x)$, and (a, b) for the greatest common divisor of a and b . Finally, for real numbers θ , we denote by $\lfloor \theta \rfloor$ the largest integer not exceeding θ , and by $\lceil \theta \rceil$ the least integer no smaller than θ .

2. AUXILIARY ESTIMATES FOR EXPONENTIAL SUMS

We refine the work of [7, §§2 and 3] by incorporating recent progress on Vinogradov's mean value theorem due to Bourgain, Demeter and Guth [1]. Recall the classical Weyl sum

$$f_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{X < x \leq 2X} e(\alpha x^k),$$

in which we suppose that $k \geq 2$ is an integer and α is real. When $k \geq 3$ is an integer, we define σ_k by means of the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma_k^{-1} = \min \{2^{k-1}, k(k-1)\}.$$

Also, for $k \geq 3$, we define the multiplicative function $w_k(q)$ by taking

$$w_k(p^{uk+v}) = \begin{cases} kp^{-u-1/2}, & \text{when } u \geq 0 \text{ and } v = 1, \\ p^{-u-1}, & \text{when } u \geq 0 \text{ and } 2 \leq v \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $k \geq 3$. Then either one has $f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1-\sigma_k+\varepsilon}$, or there exist integers a and q such that $1 \leq q \leq X^{k\sigma_k}$, $(a, q) = 1$ and $|q\alpha - a| \leq X^{-k+k\sigma_k}$, in which case*

$$f_k(\alpha; X) \ll \frac{w_k(q)X}{1 + X^k|\alpha - a/q|} + X^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. One may apply the argument of the proof of [7, Lemma 2.1], noting only that the refinement of [10, Theorem 11.1] that follows by employing the bounds recorded in [1, Theorem 1.1] permits the use of the exponent σ_k with the revised definition (2.1) presented above. \square

We also require upper bounds for the corresponding Weyl sum over prime numbers,

$$g_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{X < p \leq 2X} e(\alpha p^k),$$

and these we summarise in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $k \geq 4$ and $X^{2\sigma_k/3} \leq P \leq X^{9/20}$. Then either one has the bound $g_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1-\sigma_k/3+\varepsilon}$, or else there exist integers a and q such that $1 \leq q \leq P$, $(a, q) = 1$ and $|q\alpha - a| \leq PX^{-k}$, in which case*

$$(2.2) \quad g_k(\alpha; X) \ll \frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{(q + X^k|q\alpha - a|)^{1/2}}.$$

Proof. One may follow the argument of the proof of [7, Lemma 2.2], noting that the refinement to the exponent σ_k made available via (2.1) as exhibited in Lemma 2.1. \square

In order to describe our critical mean-value estimate, we introduce a set of admissible exponents for k th powers as follows. Let $t = t_k$ and $u = u_k$ be positive integers to be fixed in due course. Put $\theta = 1 - 1/k$, and define

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_i = (\theta + \sigma_{k-1}/k)^{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq u+1).$$

Then define $\lambda_{u+2}, \dots, \lambda_{u+t}$ by putting

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_{u+2} = \frac{k^2 - \theta^{t-3}}{k^2 + k - k\theta^{t-3}} \lambda_{u+1},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_{u+j} = \frac{k^2 - k - 1}{k^2 + k - k\theta^{t-3}} \theta^{j-3} \lambda_{u+1} \quad (3 \leq j \leq t),$$

and then write

$$(2.6) \quad \Lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{t+u}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let k, t and u be positive integers with $k \geq 3$ and $t \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k+3) \rfloor$, and let w be a non-negative integer. Define the exponents λ_j and Λ by means of (2.3)-(2.6), and put $\eta = \max\{0, k - \Lambda - 2w\sigma_k\}$. Then when N is sufficiently large, one has*

$$\int_0^1 |g_k(\alpha; N)|^{2w} \prod_{j=1}^{t+u} |g_k(\alpha; N^{\lambda_j})|^2 d\alpha \ll N^{2\Lambda+2w-k+\eta+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. This is [7, Lemma 3.3], modified to reflect the improved Weyl exponent (2.1) as exhibited in Lemmata 2.1 and 2.2. \square

3. THE UPPER BOUND FOR $H(k)$

An upper bound for $H(k)$ follows by combining the mean value estimate supplied by Lemma 2.3 with the Weyl-type estimate stemming from Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let k, t and u be positive integers with $k \geq 3$ and $t \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k+3) \rfloor$. Define the exponent Λ by means of (2.6), and put $v = \lfloor (k - \Lambda)/(2\sigma_k) \rfloor$ and $\eta^* = k - \Lambda - 2v\sigma_k$. Finally, define*

$$h = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } 0 \leq \eta^* < \frac{1}{2}\sigma_k, \\ 2, & \text{when } \frac{1}{2}\sigma_k \leq \eta^* < \sigma_k, \\ 3, & \text{when } \sigma_k \leq \eta^* < 2\sigma_k. \end{cases}$$

Suppose in addition that $2(t + u + v) + h \geq 3k + 1$ and, when $h \in \{1, 2\}$, that either $v \geq 3$ or $\eta^ < h\sigma_k/3$. Then*

$$H(k) \leq 2(t + u + v) + h.$$

Proof. This is [7, Lemma 4.1], modified to reflect the improved Weyl exponent (2.1) as exhibited in Lemma 2.1-2.3. \square

We establish Theorems 1 and 2 by applying Lemma 3.1. Recall (2.3)-(2.6), and write $\sigma = \sigma_{k-1}$ and $\phi = \theta + \sigma/k$. Then, just as in the discussion of [7, §5], one has

$$k - \Lambda = -\frac{k\sigma}{1 - \sigma} + \left(\frac{k^2(k+1)\sigma + \theta^{t-3}((k^3 - 3k^2 + k + 2) - \sigma(k^3 - 2k^2 + k + 2))}{(k^2 + k - k\theta^{t-3})(1 - \sigma)} \right) \phi^u.$$

The proof of Theorem 2. Let k be an integer with $7 \leq k \leq 20$, and define $t = t_k$, $u = u_k$, $v = v_k$ and $h = h_k$ by means of Table 2. Then by application of a simple computer program, one confirms the validity of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1. Thus $H(k) \leq 2(t + u + v) + h$. Indeed, with h_k^* defined as in Table 3, one finds that for each k one has $2\eta^*/\sigma_k < h_k^*$. We note in this context that the entries in this table have been rounded up in the final decimal place presented. This completes our proof of Theorem 2.

k	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
t_k	7	12	17	10	13	10	24	19	30	17	25	18	29	37
u_k	13	12	14	25	29	37	28	42	41	60	56	74	66	63
v_k	2	4	3	5	4	6	8	5	3	4	7	4	8	11
h_k	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1

TABLE 2. The values of t_k , u_k , v_k and h_k for $7 \leq k \leq 20$

k	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
h_k^*	0.44643	0.22927	0.02678	0.00739	0.97975	0.00042	0.08628
k	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
h_k^*	1.94435	0.03925	0.01091	0.39085	0.00541	0.52855	0.00043

TABLE 3. The values of h_k^* for $7 \leq k \leq 20$

\square

As we remarked in [7, §5], the non-monotonicity in the values of t_k , u_k and v_k recorded in Table 2 is a consequence of the fact that θ and ϕ are close in size, and thus the optimisation is sensitive only to the sum $t_k + u_k$ rather than the individual values of t_k and u_k .

The proof of Theorem 1. We adapt the proof of [7, Theorem 1], supposing throughout that k is sufficiently large. Put $t = t_k$ and $u = u_k$, where

$$t_k = \lceil \tfrac{1}{2}k \log k \rceil \quad \text{and} \quad u_k = \lceil k(2 \log k - \log 2) \rceil - t.$$

It is convenient to define $\gamma = \lceil k(2 \log k - \log 2) \rceil - k(2 \log k - \log 2)$. Also, we write

$$\tau = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{(k-1)(k-2)},$$

so that $\sigma_k = \tau$ and $\sigma_{k-1} = \sigma$. Our earlier formula for $k - \Lambda$ now takes the shape

$$k - \Lambda = -\frac{k\sigma}{1-\sigma} + \left(\frac{k^2(k+1)(k-1)^3\sigma + \theta^t k^3(k^3 - 3k^2 + O(k))}{(k-1)^3(k^2 + k - k\theta^{t-3})(1-\sigma)} \right) \phi^u.$$

As in the corresponding proof of [7, Theorem 1], one finds that

$$\theta^t = e^{-t/k} \left(1 - \frac{\log k}{4k} + O(k^{-3/2}) \right) \asymp k^{-1/2}.$$

Also, since

$$\log \phi = \log \left(1 - \frac{1-\sigma}{k} \right) = -\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{2k^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^3}\right),$$

one discerns that

$$\phi^u = e^{-u/k} \left(1 - \frac{3 \log k - 2 \log 2}{4k} + O(k^{-3/2}) \right) \ll k^{-3/2}.$$

Consequently,

$$k - \Lambda = -\frac{k\sigma}{1-\sigma} + (k - 1 + O(k^{-1/2})) \theta^t \phi^u + O(k^{-5/2}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^t \phi^u &= e^{-(t+u)/k} \left(1 - \frac{2 \log k - \log 2}{2k} + O(k^{-3/2}) \right) \\ &= e^{-\gamma/k} \left(\frac{2}{k^2} - \frac{2 \log k - \log 2}{k^3} + O(k^{-7/2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\sigma}{\tau} = \frac{k(k-1)}{(k-1)(k-2)} = 1 + \frac{2}{k} + O\left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right),$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k - \Lambda}{2\tau} &= -\frac{1}{2}(k+2) + \frac{e^{-\gamma/k} k(k-1)}{k^3} (k-1)(k - \log k + \frac{1}{2} \log 2) + O(k^{-1/2}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(k+2) + (k - \log k + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - 2) (1 - \gamma/k) + O(k^{-1/2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}k - \log k - 3 + \frac{1}{2} \log 2 - \gamma + O(k^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Put $v = \lfloor (k - \Lambda)/(2\tau) \rfloor$, set $\eta^* = k - \Lambda - 2v\tau$, and define h as in the statement of Lemma 3.1. Then one has $0 \leq \eta^* < 2\tau$, and in all circumstances one may confirm that

$$2v + h = \frac{k - \Lambda - \eta^*}{\tau} + h \leq \frac{k - \Lambda}{\tau} + 2 \leq k - 2 \log k - 4 + \log 2 - 2\gamma + O(k^{-1/2}).$$

Since

$$2(t + u + v) + h \leq 2(2k \log k - k \log 2 + \gamma) + k - 2 \log k - 4 + \log 2 - 2\gamma + O(k^{-1/2}),$$

we therefore conclude from Lemma 3.1 that

$$H(k) \leq (4k - 2) \log k - (2 \log 2 - 1)k - 4 + \log 2 + O(k^{-1/2}).$$

We have assumed k to be sufficiently large, and thus we have established the bound

$$H(k) \leq (4k - 2) \log k - (2 \log 2 - 1)k - 3.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, C. Demeter and L. Guth, *Proof of the main conjecture in Vinogradov's mean value theorem for degrees higher than three*, preprint available as arXiv:1512.01565.
- [2] L. K. Hua, *Some results in prime number theory*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **9** (1938), 68–80.
- [3] L. K. Hua, *Additive Primzahltheorie*, B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1959.
- [4] L. K. Hua, *Additive Theory of Prime Numbers*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1965.
- [5] K. Kawada and T. D. Wooley, *On the Waring–Goldbach problem for fourth and fifth powers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), no. 1, 1–50.
- [6] A. Kumchev, *The Waring–Goldbach problem for seventh powers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 10, 2927–2937.
- [7] A. V. Kumchev and T. D. Wooley, *On the Waring–Goldbach problem for eighth and higher powers*, J. London Math. Soc. (to appear), preprint available as arXiv:1510.00982.
- [8] I. M. Vinogradov, *Representation of an odd number as the sum of three primes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **15** (1937), 291–294.
- [9] T. D. Wooley, *Vinogradov's mean value theorem via efficient congruencing*, Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), no. 3, 1575–1627.
- [10] T. D. Wooley, *Multigrade efficient congruencing and Vinogradov's mean value theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **111** (2015), no. 3, 519–560.
- [11] L. Zhao, *On the Waring–Goldbach problem for fourth and sixth powers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **108** (2014), no. 6, 1593–1622.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOWSON UNIVERSITY, TOWSON, MD 21252, USA
E-mail address: `akumchev@towson.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, UNIVERSITY WALK, BRISTOL BS8 1TW, UK
E-mail address: `matdw@bristol.ac.uk`